Appendix IV Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening – Site Development Brief for Rhuddlan Triangle.

- 1. Legal Background
- 1.1 This reports aims at determining whether the emerging Site Development Brief (SDB) for Rhuddlan Triangle, Rhuddlan, must be subject to a (full) Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) to comply with the requirements set out in 'Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes (Wales) Regulations 2004', henceforth referred to as SEA Regulations 2004, which transposes European Directive 2001/42/EC into national legislation.
- 1.2 The SEA Regulations 2004 place an obligation on local authorities to undertake a SEA on plans and projects;
 - that are required for town and country planning or land use; and
 - set the framework for future development consent of projects listed in Annex I or II to European Council Directive 85/337/ EEC.

Detailed guidance on the methodology is laid out in 'A Practical Guide to the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive' (ODPM et al, 2005)

- 1.3 The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 contained the requirement for local planning authorities to produce a Sustainability Appraisal (SA) for all local development plan documents. It was suggested to be of best practise to incorporate the SEA into the document accompanying SA. The Planning Act 2008 removed the obligation of carrying out a SA for Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) including SDB without establishing whether a SPG still requires the SEA.
- 1.4 SEA Regulations 2004, regulation 5(6) further clarifies that a SEA is not required for (1) a plan or programme which determines the use of a small area at local level or (2) minor modification to a plan or programme, unless it has been determined that the plan, programme or modification is likely to have significant environmental effects or the Welsh Government determines so.
- 2. SEA screening
- 2.1 Although this site development brief supplements policies from Denbighshire's Local Development Plan (LDP) 2006 2021, which has been subject to a full SA (including SEA) appraisal, it is essential to determine whether this SDB is likely to have a significant effect on the environment. Natural Resources Wales (NRW) and Cadw (Welsh Monuments), both are statutory consultation bodies, will be consulted on this document to establish whether they agree with the Council's viewpoint that this SDB would not be 'likely to have significant environmental effects'.

- 2.2 If NRW or Cadw conclude that the plan would be likely to have significant effects on the environment, listing the reasons for their viewpoint, the requirements are established for a (full) SEA.
- 2.3 Table 1 presents the template for this screening process to determine the requirements for a SEA. It is an amended version of 'Figure 2 Application of the SEA Directive to plan and programmes' in 'A Practical Guide to the Strategic Environmental Assessment' (2005).

Table 1: Screening for the need for an SEA

Table 1: Screening for the need for an SEA			
Stage	[Y / N]	Reason	
1. Is the PP (plan or programme) subject to preparation and/or adoption by a national, regional or local authority OR prepared by an authority for adoption through a legislative procedure by Parliament or Government? (Art. 2(a))	Yes	The SDB has been prepared by a local planning authority, and is likely to become adopted by the Council.	
2. Is the PP required by legislative, regulatory or administrative provisions? (Art. 2(a))	Yes	The SDB is not required by legislative provision but amplifies Denbighshire Local Development Plan policy BSC2 (and other relevant LDP policies) and may become a material consideration in determining planning applications.	
3. Is the PP prepared for agriculture, forestry, fisheries, energy, industry, transport, waste management, water management, telecommunications, tourism, town and country planning or land use, AND does it set a framework for future development consent of projects in Annexes I and II to the EIA Directive? (Art 3.2(a))	Yes	The SDB was prepared for town and country planning and may guide future land use if adopted. 'Urban development projects' (identified under Annex II - 10. (b) of the Directive) is the most relevant type of Annex II project in relation to the SDB. The SBD site totals approximately 4.5 hectares, and therefore exceeds the 0.5 hectare threshold outlined in the regulations.	
		The SDB suggests a few uses (leisure, community facilities or an industrial estate with starter units) that might be appropriate for the site. At this stage, any suggested use is not specific. Once a more specific use is identified, the planning application process would ascertain whether the proposed use (s) are EIA development by way of the standard screening process.	

4. Will the PP, in view of its likely effect on sites, require an assessment for future development under Article 6 or 7 of the Habitats Directive? (Art. 3.2 (b))	N/A	N/A
5. Does the PP Determine the use of small areas at local level,	Yes	The SDB will be used to guide development of a small area (4.5 ha) at local level.
OR is it a minor modification of a PP subject to Art. 3.2? (Art. 3.3)	Yes	The SDB amplifies policies contained in the Denbighshire Local Development Plan 2006 - 2021 that was subject to a full SEA as part of the Plan process.
6. Does the PP set the framework for future development consent of projects (not just projects in annexes to the EIA Directive)? (Art 3.4)	N/A	N/A
7. Is the PP's sole purpose to serve the national defence or civil emergency, OR is it a financial or budget PP, OR is it co-financed by structural funds or EAGGF programmes 2000 to 2006/7? (Art 3.8, 3.9)	N/A	N/A
8. Is it likely to have a significant effect on the environment? (Art. 3.5)	No	Please refer to table 2 'Assessment of the likely significant effects on the environment'

Table 2: Assessment of the likely significant effects on the environment

1. The characteristics of plans and programmes, having regard, in particular, to:		
SEA Directive Criteria (Article 3 (5) of directive 2001/42/EC)	Response	Is there a significant or specific effect beyond that anticipated by the parent policy framework? Y/N
1a) The degree to which the plan or programme sets a framework for projects and other activities, either with regard to the location, nature, size and operating conditions or by allocating resources.	The SPD suggests acceptable uses and seeks to raise the standards of design on the site.	No.
1b) The degree to which the plan or programme influences other plans and programmes including those in a hierarchy.	The SDB will not influence other plans and programmes but may guide future development on this particular site.	No.
1c) The relevance of the plan or programme for the integration of environmental	The SDB refers to the fact that all development proposals should be of high	No.

	T	1
considerations in particular with a view to promoting	quality and inclusive design. Land use proposals,	
sustainable development.	landscape elements and design must principally	
	contribute to the creation of	
	a sustainable community	
	and secure the best	
	environment.	
1d) Environmental problems	Specific reference has been	No.
relevant to the plan or	included to advise on the	
programme.	legal requirement regarding	
	building works affecting nature conservation,	
	alongside policy	
	consideration to flooding,	
	and contamination for	
	example.	
1e) The relevance of the	The SDB points out principal	No.
plan or programme for the	design aspirations but does	
implementation of	not contain or impose	
Community legislation on the environment (e.g. plans and	specific measures. Those features are expected to be	
programmes linked to waste	discussed as part of the	
management or water	planning application.	
protection).	pianing application	
	s and of the area likely to be affe	ected, having regard,
in particular, to:		
	The sime of the CDD is to	
2a) The probability, duration,	The aim of the SDB is to	No.
frequency and reversibility of	redevelop the site for the	NO.
frequency and reversibility of the effects.	redevelop the site for the long-term future.	
frequency and reversibility of	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of	redevelop the site for the long-term future.	
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB	
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a	
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects.	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only.	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects. 2c) The trans-boundary	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of	
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects.	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of redevelopment as outlined in	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects. 2c) The trans-boundary	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of redevelopment as outlined in the SDB would relate	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects. 2c) The trans-boundary	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of redevelopment as outlined in the SDB would relate primarily to transport/highways and	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects. 2c) The trans-boundary	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of redevelopment as outlined in the SDB would relate primarily to transport/highways and (visual) landscape impacts.	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects. 2c) The trans-boundary	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of redevelopment as outlined in the SDB would relate primarily to transport/highways and (visual) landscape impacts. Environmental	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects. 2c) The trans-boundary	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of redevelopment as outlined in the SDB would relate primarily to transport/highways and (visual) landscape impacts. Environmental considerations are	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects. 2c) The trans-boundary nature of the effects.	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of redevelopment as outlined in the SDB would relate primarily to transport/highways and (visual) landscape impacts. Environmental considerations are considered at 2f.	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects. 2c) The trans-boundary nature of the effects.	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of redevelopment as outlined in the SDB would relate primarily to transport/highways and (visual) landscape impacts. Environmental considerations are considered at 2f. It cannot be envisaged that	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects. 2c) The trans-boundary nature of the effects. 2d) The risks to human health or the environment	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of redevelopment as outlined in the SDB would relate primarily to transport/highways and (visual) landscape impacts. Environmental considerations are considered at 2f.	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects. 2c) The trans-boundary nature of the effects.	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of redevelopment as outlined in the SDB would relate primarily to transport/highways and (visual) landscape impacts. Environmental considerations are considered at 2f. It cannot be envisaged that there are any risks to human	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects. 2c) The trans-boundary nature of the effects. 2d) The risks to human health or the environment	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of redevelopment as outlined in the SDB would relate primarily to transport/highways and (visual) landscape impacts. Environmental considerations are considered at 2f. It cannot be envisaged that there are any risks to human health or the environment beyond legal requirements. As the site is located in a	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects. 2c) The trans-boundary nature of the effects. 2d) The risks to human health or the environment	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of redevelopment as outlined in the SDB would relate primarily to transport/highways and (visual) landscape impacts. Environmental considerations are considered at 2f. It cannot be envisaged that there are any risks to human health or the environment beyond legal requirements. As the site is located in a designated flood zone, the	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects. 2c) The trans-boundary nature of the effects. 2d) The risks to human health or the environment	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of redevelopment as outlined in the SDB would relate primarily to transport/highways and (visual) landscape impacts. Environmental considerations are considered at 2f. It cannot be envisaged that there are any risks to human health or the environment beyond legal requirements. As the site is located in a designated flood zone, the SDB outlines that vulnerable	No.
frequency and reversibility of the effects. 2b) The cumulative nature of the effects. 2c) The trans-boundary nature of the effects. 2d) The risks to human health or the environment	redevelop the site for the long-term future. The changes proposed will occur over a gradual period of time, and when taken as a whole, the effects of the SDB if implemented, will be on a local/small scale only. Indirect effects of redevelopment as outlined in the SDB would relate primarily to transport/highways and (visual) landscape impacts. Environmental considerations are considered at 2f. It cannot be envisaged that there are any risks to human health or the environment beyond legal requirements. As the site is located in a designated flood zone, the	No.

	on the site.	
2e) The magnitude and spatial extent of the effects (geographical area and size of the population likely to be affected).	The SDB specifically refers to Rhuddlan Triangle site in Rhuddlan. There may positive spill-over effects for the local community, e.g. job opportunities.	No.
2f) The value and vulnerability of the area likely to be affected due to: special natural characteristics or cultural heritage. exceeded environmental quality standards or limit values. intensive land-use.	The SDB area is near the Rhuddlan conservation area, and a number of listed buildings (including Rhuddlan Castle - Listed Building: Grade I and St Mary's Church -Listed Building: Grade II*). However, the SDB highlights the importance of any redevelopment proposal preserving and enhancing the setting and character of the conservation area, listed buildings and monuments near the site.	No.
	The SDB area does not include any environmentally related designations but abuts a wildlife site. The SPD acknowledges that the River Clwyd (which abuts the site) connects to the Liverpool Bay SPA which is approximately 4km to the north. Therefore, the SDB seeks to ensure no changes to the water quality and quantity of the river. The SDB would improve environmental quality standards by re-developing a brownfield derelict site to high environmental standards.	
	The site is a brownfield site that was previously used for industrial purposes. It is not considered any potential redevelopment and subsequent use of the site would lead to a more intensive land use of the site.	
2g) The effects on areas or	intensive land use of the site. The area affected by	No.

land	dscapes which have a	proposals contained in the	
reco	ognised national,	SDB is not covered by any	
Con	nmunity or international	landscape designation.	
	ection status.		

3. Conclusion

3.1 The Council awaits responses from statutory consultation bodies: Natural Resources Wales and Cadw before concluding whether the site development brief for the Rhuddlan Triangle requires a (full) Strategic Environment Assessment.